

Minutes of the 9th Meeting of State Level Expert Appraisal Committee constituted for considering environmental clearance projects (B category) under GOI Not. 14.9.06 held on 27th & 28th, November, 2008 at Haryana State Pollution Control Board office under the Chairmanship of Sh. Inderjit Juneja, Chairman, SEAC.

**

List of participant is annexed as Annexure-A.

At the outset Chairman, SEAC welcomed the members of the SEAC and advised the Secy. /representative of the Secy. to give brief background of this meeting. The Committee was informed that 16 new projects are being taken up in this meeting i.e. 8 nos. of projects on 27th November, 2008 and remaining 8 no. of projects on 28th November, 2008. All the members attended the meeting except Sh. Ram Partap Singh.

After detailed deliberations, the following projects were taken up by the Committee for screening, scoping and appraisal:-

1. M/S Suncity Haryana IT SEZ at village Jund Sarai & Bhangrola District Gurgaon, Haryana):

During presentation, the consultant of Project Proponent informed that they have already submitted the revised Form-I, Form-1A and conceptual plan and reply to the shortcomings. It was further explained that this project is a IT SEZ project to be located at village Jund Sarai & Bhangrola at an expected cost of Rs. 1772.24 crores. The total Plot area is 606412.98 sq. mt. and total proposed built up area will be 1388829.23 sq. mt. The height of the building will be about 60 Mts. The project proponent also informed that they have already applied for NOC from Airport Authority of India. It was also informed that the green belt development area has been kept as 275883,99 sq. mt. i.e. 40.78% and landscape /tree area will be around 13.97% which was found inadequate. The total fresh water requirement will be 7475 KLD which will be met from the Govt. agency. The 8165 KLD of waste water will be generated from the site which will be treated in STP having capacity 10000 KLD. The entire treated water will be recycled/reused for horticulture/gardening, flushing, HVAC/Gen set cooling purposes etc. thus leading to zero

discharge. It was informed by the project proponent that the power requirement will be 134587.98 KVA which will be met from the DHBVN. As a backup they will install 60 MW capacity gas turbine. The project proponent informed that they have total parking facilities of 23108 ECS i.e. 4622 ECS on surface/stilt parking and for one basement 18486. After that detailed deliberations were held about Solid Waste generation and its management, hazardous waste management, parking plan, green belt development, dual plumbing system, rain water harvesting, power requirement etc. After presentation, the committee observed the following shortcomings which were conveyed to the consultant with the advice to submit the same:

1. The project proponent should submit NOC from Airport Authority of India;
2. The project proponent should submit assurance for supply/abstraction of 7475 KLD of water from competent authority;
3. The project proponent should submit revised green belt development plan ensuring that minimum 15% of the project area is covered under tree plantation;
4. The project proponent should submit hydraulic design and dimension of each component of proposed STP of 10000 KLD capacity;
5. The PP will submit certificate of the competent revenue/forest authority that the said area is not covered under the jurisdiction of Aravalli Notification 7.5.1992 ;
6. The PP will submit complete detailed designs/details for installation of 60 MW gas turbine alongwith assurance for supply of the gas.
7. The PP will submit ak-shizra for verifying the boundaries of the proposed project.

8. The PP will submit revised monitoring schedule as was advised by the committee.
9. The PP will submit an undertaking ensuring that the E-waste will be managed /handled and disposed as per the latest technologies.

The PP is advised to submit the reply to the shortcomings within 30 days. It was also made clear to the project proponent that their application will be considered as received only after the receipt of complete information as has been desired.

2. M/S DLF New Gurgaon Homes Developers Pvt. Ltd. (Construction of DLF New Town Heights” in Sector-91 Gurgaon, Haryana on proposed 14.35 acre Group Housing Project):

This Project is a construction of Group Housing project at section-91, Gurgaon over an area of 14.35 acre. At the outset the members of the committee asked the PP to submit the papers about the ownership of their land. In response to that the PP informed that they have submitted licence issued by the Town and Country Planning Department alongwith the shortcomings. On which the members pointed out that they have not received any licence in the name of the PP, rather a copy of the licence dated 11.10.2008 as Annexure-2 was submitted in the name of M/S Mariabella Builders & Developers Pvt. Ltd. & M/S Sagardutt Builders & Developers Pvt. that to for an area of 7 acres. He also failed to produce the documents/lease deed/agreement for an area of 14.35 acre. Accordingly it was made clear to the PP that it is the first and foremost requirement that the PP should be either owner in possession of the land in his name or has got valid agreement with the other parties to develop this housing project on an area of 14.35 acres. Thereafter a unanimous decision was taken by the committee members that the presentation of this case can only be taken on submission of the valid

licence or other documents as pointed out above. The case was accordingly deferred.

The PP is advised to submit the reply to the shortcomings within 30 days. It was also made clear to the project proponent that their application will be considered as received only after the receipt of complete information as has been desired.

3. M/S DLF New Gurgaon Homes Developers Pvt. Ltd. (Construction of DLF New Town Heights” in Sector-90 Gurgaon, Haryana on proposed 32.313 acre Group Housing Project):

This Project is a construction of Group Housing project at section-90, Gurgaon over an area of 32.313 acre. At the outset the members of the committee asked the PP to submit the papers about the ownership of their land. In response to that the PP informed that they have submitted licence issued by the Town and Country Planning Department alongwith the shortcomings. On which the members pointed out that they have not received any licence in the name of the PP, rather a copy of the licence dated 12.02.2008 as Annexure-2 was submitted in the name of M/S Laman Real Estate Pvt. Ltd and Sh. Shiv Narayan & Sh. Laxmi Narayan, that to for an area of 21.513 acres. He also failed to produce the documents/lease deed/agreement for an area of 32.313 acre. Accordingly it was made clear to the PP that it is the first and foremost requirement that the PP should be either owner in possession of the land in his name or has got valid agreement with the other parties to develop this housing project on an area of 32.313 acres. Thereafter a unanimous decision was taken by the committee members that the presentation of this case can only be taken on submission of the valid licence or other documents as pointed out above. The case was accordingly deferred.

The PP is advised to submit the reply to the shortcomings within 30 days. It was also made clear to the project proponent that their application will be considered as received only after the receipt of complete information as has been desired.

4. M/S DLF New Gurgaon Homes Developers Pvt. Ltd. (Construction of DLF New Town Heights” in Sector-86 Gurgaon, Haryana on proposed 28.2995 acre Group Housing Project):

This Project is a construction of Group Housing project at section-86, Gurgaon over an area of **28.2995** acre. At the outset the members of the committee asked the PP to submit the papers about the ownership of their land. In response to that the PP informed that they have submitted licence issued by the Town and Country Planning Department alongwith the shortcomings. On which the members pointed out that they have not received any licence in the name of the PP, rather a copy of the licence dated 31.03.2008 as Annexure-2 was submitted in the name of M/Seaberi Builders & Developers Pvt. Ltd., M/S Philia Estates Developers Pvt. Ltd., M/S Angelina Real Estate Pvt. Ltd., M/S Gumvant Reast Estate Pvt. Ltd., Sh. Sachin, Vidas sons of Sh. Dharam Singh, Sh. Sunil s/ Sh. Raj Pal, Sh. Kishan & Pehlad sons of Sh. Prabhu, that to for an area of 29.663 acres. He also failed to produce the documents/lease deed/agreement for an area of **28.2995** acre. Accordingly it was made clear to the PP that it is the first and foremost requirement that the PP should be either owner in possession of the land in his name or has got valid agreement with the other parties to develop this housing project on an area of **28.2995** acres. Thereafter a unanimous decision was taken by the committee members that the presentation of this case can only be taken on submission of the valid licence or other documents as pointed out above. The case was accordingly deferred.

The PP is advised to submit the reply to the shortcomings within 30 days. It was also made clear to the project proponent that their application will be considered as received only after the receipt of complete information as has been desired

5. M/S Pristine Buildtech Pvt. Ltd. (Construction of Oxton Gargen-Group Housing project at sector-10, Sonapat).

This Project is a construction of Construction of Oxton Gargen-Group Housing project at sector-10, Sonapat, Haryana. Neither the representative of the project proponent nor their consultant attended the meeting for presentation. It was informed to the committee that this project has been received from MOEF,GOI on 17.09.08. On examination the shortcomings of this project was conveyed to the PP vide letter NO. DEH/2008/SEAC/151/411 dated 19.9.08. The committee viewed the absence of the PP seriously and after deliberations it was also decided that the project proponent may be informed that their application shall deemed to have been received only after the receipt of complete information as has been desired vide SEAC letter dated 19.9.2008 within a period of one month.

6. M/S Prajakta Colonizers Private Limited for construction of commercial complex at sector-65, Badshahpur, Gurgaon.

During presentation, the consultant of Project Proponent informed that they have already submitted the revised Form-I, Form-1A and conceptual plan and reply to the shortcomings. It was further explained that this project is a commercial complex project to be located at sector-65, Gurgaon at an expected cost of Rs. 85.576 crores. The total Plot area is 22864.83 sq. mt. and total proposed built up area will be 60168.66 sq. mt. They have proposed to built three basements. The height of the building will be about 26 Mts. It was also informed that the green belt development area has been kept as 4888.96 sq. mt. i.e. 30.27% and landscape area will be around 14.72% and Green tree area will 15.55%. The total fresh water requirement will be 231 KLD which will be met from the Govt. agency. The 114 KLD of waste water will be generated from the site which will be treated in STP having capacity 140 KLD out of which 103 KLD of the treated will be recycled/reused for flushing, HVAC/Gen set cooling and horticulture purposes etc. the excess of the treated water discharge in the public sewer. But the PP failed to submit the hydraulic design of the STP alongwith dimension of each component. It was informed by the project proponent that the power requirement will be 3059 KW which will be met from the DHBVN. As a backup they have proposed to install 2X1000 KVA and 2X750 KVA DG sets

with stack height as per the CPCB norms. The project proponent informed that they have total parking facilities of 777 ECS i.e. 205ECS on surface, 200 ECS for first basement, 186 for the IInd basement and 186 for the 3rd basement. After that detailed deliberations were held about Solid Waste generation and its management, hazardous waste management, parking plan, green belt development, dual plumbing system, rain water harvesting, power requirement etc. After presentation, the committee observed the following shortcomings which were conveyed to the consultant with the advice to submit the same:

1. The project proponent should submit assurance for supply/abstraction of 231 KLD of water from competent authority;
2. The project proponent should submit hydraulic design and dimension of each component of proposed STP of 140 KLD capacity;
3. The PP will submit revised calculation of the rain water harvesting alongwith the plan earmarking the rain water harvesting pits.
4. The PP should submit undertaking that they will use low sulfur diesel/HSD (0.25%) for their DG set.
5. The PP will submit assurance for the competent authority for supply of 3059 KW electricity.
6. The PP should submit undertaking to following ECBC norms and electric hazardous for the workers , occupants and visitors.

The PP is advised to submit the reply to the shortcomings within 30 days. It was also made clear to the project proponent that their application will be considered as received only after the receipt of complete information as has been desired.

7. M/S RPS Infrastructure Limited (construction of IT park project at 12/6 Mathura Road Faridabad)

During presentation, the consultant of Project Proponent informed that they have already submitted the revised Form-I, Form-1A and conceptual plan and reply to the shortcomings. It was further explained that this project is a IT park project to be located at 12/6 Mathura Road, Faridabad at an expected cost of Rs. 200 crores Approx. The total Plot area is 30650 sq. mt. and total proposed built up area will be 129057.357 sq. mt. They have proposed to built three basement. The height of the building has not been indicated. It was also informed that the green belt development area has been kept as 14400 sq. mt. out of which plantation area has been kept 4500 sq. meter approx. The total water requirement will be 525 cubic meter per day out of which per day fresh water requirement will be 214 cubic meter which will be met from the Municipal water supply and ground water. The 327 KLD of waste water will be generated from the site which will be treated in STP having capacity 327 KLD which is inadequate. The entire treated water will be recycled/reused for horticulture/gardening, flushing, HVAC/Gen set cooling purposes etc. thus leading to zero discharge. It was informed by the project proponent that the power requirement will be 14 MVA which will be met from the DHBVN. As a backup they have proposed to install 8X2000 KVA and 1X500 KVA DG sets with stack height as per the CPCB norms. The project proponent informed that they have total parking facilities of 1826 ECS i.e. 166 ECS on surface, ECS, 570 ECS for first basement, 554 ECS for the IInd basement and 535 for the 3rd basement. After that detailed deliberations were held about Solid Waste generation and its management, hazardous waste management, parking plan, green belt development, dual plumbing system, rain water harvesting, power requirement etc. After presentation, the committee observed the following shortcomings which were conveyed to the consultant with the advice to submit the same:

1. The project proponent should submit height of the building.

2. The project proponent should submit permission for supply/abstraction of 214 cubic meter per day of water from competent authority;
3. The project proponent will submit revised traffic circulation plan;
4. The unit should submit contour plan of the area;
5. The PP should submit the plan indicating surrounding features within 500 meters alongwith photograph indicating the construction of the project.
6. The project proponent should submit revised hydraulic design and dimension of each component of proposed STP of adequate capacity as per CPCB norms;
7. The unit should submit the licence issued by Town & Country Planning department for the proposed area.
8. The PP should submit assurance certificate from the competent authority for supply the 14 MVA electricity.
9. The PP will submit an undertaking ensuring that the E-waste will be managed /handled and disposed as per the latest technologies.
10. The PP should submit detailed dual plumbing system for recycling the treated water for flushing, horticulture and cooling etc.
11. The PP should submit revised rain water harvesting plan.
12. The PP should submit revised plantation plan covering minimum area of 15% under the green tree cover.

The PP is advised to submit the reply to the shortcomings within 30 days. It was also made clear to the project proponent that their

application will be considered as received only after the receipt of complete information as has been desired.

8. M/S Conway Developers Pvt. Ltd (for proposed “Frountier Garden” Group Housing Complex at Sector-80, Village Naurangpur District Gurgaon)

During presentation, the consultant of Project Proponent informed that they have already submitted the revised Form-I, Form-1A and conceptual plan and reply to the shortcomings. It was further explained that this project is a Group Housing Complex project to be located in sector-80 village Naurangpur District Gurgaon at an expected cost of Rs. 200 crores. The total Plot area is 45973.96 sq. mt. and total proposed built up area will be 105493.71 sq. mt. The height of the building will be about 60 Mts. The project proponent also informed that they have already applied for NOC from Airport Authority of India. It was also informed that the green belt development area has been kept as 26950 sq. mt. i.e. 58.62 % and landscape /tree area will be around 26%. The total fresh water requirement will be 280 KLD which will be met from the Govt. agency. The 380 KLD of waste water will be generated from the site which will be treated in STP having capacity 450 KLD. Out of which 290 KLD of the treated water will be recycled/reused for horticulture/gardening, flushing, HVAC/Gen set cooling purposes etc. and excess treated water will be discharge in the public sewer. It was informed by the project proponent that the power requirement will be 3439 KW which will be met from the DHBVN. As a 100 % backup they will install DG sets 2X1250 and 2X1010 KVA with stack height as per the CPCB norms. The project proponent informed that they have total parking facilities of 787 ECS. After that detailed deliberations were held about Solid Waste generation and its management, hazardous waste management, parking plan, green belt development, dual plumbing system, rain water harvesting, power requirement etc. After presentation, the committee observed the following shortcomings which were conveyed to the consultant with the advice to submit the same:

1. The project proponent should submit NOC from Airport Authority of India;
2. The project proponent should submit assurance for supply of 280 KLD of fresh water from competent authority;
3. The project proponent should submit dimension of each component of proposed STP of 450 KLD capacity;
4. The PP will submit certificate of the competent revenue/forest authority that the said area is not covered under the jurisdiction of Aravalli Notification 7.5.1992 ;
5. The PP will submit and undertaking to plant Dense broadleaves plants to reduce noise pollution;
6. The PP will submit special power of attorney in the name of Conway Developer Pvt. Ltd. from the other licence holders;

The PP is advised to submit the reply to the shortcomings within 30 days. It was also made clear to the project proponent that their application will be considered as received only after the receipt of complete information as has been desired.

9. M/S Ridhi-Sidhi Dhoop & Metal Ind (manufacturer of High Class Dhoop, Aggarbaties & lead metal etc.) 21, Industrial Area Jind, Haryana.

During presentation, the consultant of Project Proponent informed that they have already submitted the revised Form-I, Form-1A, conceptual plan, EIA report and reply to the shortcomings. It was further explained that this project is an industry for manufacturing of lead ingots from the waste or used batteries situated at 21, industrial Estate, HSIIDC, Jind for which they had already taken NOC from the Haryana State Pollution Control Board, Panchkula vide their letter dated 24.06.08. An expected cost of the project is Rs. 7 lakh. The

total plot area will be 500 sq. yard. Total built up area, green belt area has not been given. The total production has been given as 600 Kg. per day. It was informed by the project proponent that the power requirement will be 6.5 KW which will be met from the UHBVNL and for power back up they will provide DG set of 6.5 KVA. During deliberation it was noticed by the members of the committee that it is a highly air polluting unit for which they are required to undertake plantation on 33% of the total area. It was also desired by the committee members that the unit should install bag filter of 99.9% efficiency. The unit should also use low sulfur diesel (High Speed Diesel) (0.25% for their DG set). On seeking clarification as to whether HSIIDC has given NOC for establishment of this lead smelting unit the PP informed that still he has not got NOC from HDIIDC.

After that detailed deliberations were held about Solid Waste generation and its management, hazardous waste management, parking plan, green belt development, dual plumbing system, rain water harvesting, power requirement etc. After presentation, the committee observed the following shortcomings which were conveyed to the consultant with the advice to submit the same:

1. The project proponent will submit NOC from HSIIDC for establishment of this lead smelting unit on the proposed site.
2. The PP will submit undertaking in the form of affidavit that they will plant trees on 33% of the total area of the plot to curtail air pollution.
3. The PP will give undertaking in the form of affidavit that they will use Bag Filter of minimum 99.9% efficiency.
4. The PP will submit an undertaking in the form of affidavit that they will use low sulfur diesel/HSD (0.25%) for their DG set.
5. The PP will submit an undertaking in the form of affidavit ECBC norms and electric hazardous for the workers, occupants and visitors.
6. The PP will submit a copy of the registration with the CPCB as per the procedure laid down under rule 19 of the

Hazardous Waste Management and Handling Rules 1989 as amended from time to time.

7. The PP will submit assurance for the competent authority for supply of 6.5 KW electricity.

The PP is advised to submit the reply to the shortcomings within 30 days. It was also made clear to the project proponent that their application will be considered as received only after the receipt of complete information as has been desired.

10. **M/S Karni Infrastructure and Property Pvt. Ltd. (Construction of proposed Group Housing Project “NESTER” at Sector-4A, Bahadurgarh, Jhajjar, Haryana):**

During presentation, the consultant of Project Proponent informed that they have already submitted the revised Form-I, Form-1A, conceptual plan, EIA report and reply to the shortcomings. It was further explained that this project is construction of proposed Group Housing Project “NESTER” at Sector-4A, Bahadurgarh, Jhajjar, Haryana at an expected cost of Rs. 163 crores. The total Plot area is 49047.52 sq. mt. and the total built up area including basement will be 78207.12 sq. mt. comprising of 575 dwelling units (500 apartments and 75 EWS) and will accommodate 3010 persons. It was also informed that the green belt development area has been kept as 25% out of which the 15% will be covered under tree plantation and 10% will be kept for organized green spaces. The total water requirement will be 382 KLD which will be met from local authority water supply. The 329 KLD of waste water generated from the site which will be treated in the STP having 400 KLD of capacity. The entire treated water from the commercial area will be recycled/reused for horticulture, flushing, DG cooling purposes etc. thus leading to zero discharge. It was informed by the project proponent that the power requirement will be 3500 KVA for both the phases which will be met from the DHBVNL and for power back up they will provide 2X 500 KVA & 2X 750 KVA capacity of DG Sets. The stack height for the DG sets will be as per the CPCB norms. The project proponent informed that they have total parking facilities of 538 ECS i.e. 285 ECS on

surface, 233 on stilt parking & 20 ECS for convenient shop parking. After that detailed deliberations were held about Solid Waste generation and its management, hazardous waste management, parking plan, green belt development, dual plumbing system, rain water harvesting, power requirement etc. After presentation, the committee observed the following shortcomings which were conveyed to the consultant with the advice to submit the same:

1. The project proponent should submit assurance for supply of 382 KLD of water from competent authority;
2. The project proponent should submit revised undertakings on affidavit with the declaration by owner/Director/Authorised signatory duly attested by Notary as was advised;

It was also made clear to the project proponent that their application will be considered as received only after the receipt of complete information as has been desired.

11. M/S Cosmos Builders & Promoters Ltd. (Construction of “Mittal Mega Mall” SM-3 and 4, Sector 25, Phase-II, Distt. Panipat, Haryana):

At the outset, the members of the committee informed Chairperson that the project proponent has not delivered the documents required for discussion. The representative of the Project Proponent informed that they have already supplied the copies of documents to all the members on 18.11.2008 and regretted for in-orderly delay in submission of the documents. The Chairperson allowed them to make their presentation. During presentation, the representatives of the project proponent informed that they have already submitted Form-I, Form-1A, conceptual plan and reply to the observations raised by Chairman, SEAC. It was further explained that this project is construction of “Mittal Mega Mall” SM-3 and 4, Sector 25, Phase-II, Distt. Panipat, Haryana at an expected cost of Rs. 40 crores. The total Plot area is 7280 sq. mt. and the total built up area including basement will be 17166 sq. mt. The project proponent intimated that their site plan was approved by Haryana Urban

Development Authority and the construction of the mall was completed in the year 2006 and the Mall is in running condition. The occupation certificate was issued by HUDA on 22.12.2006. The project proponent further informed that Chairman, Haryana State Pollution Control Board is insisting to get environmental clearance for the project as per EIA rules, 2004. The project proponent clarified that their project was not covered under MOEF, GOI Notification dt. 7.7.2004 as the regular occupants are less than 1000 persons, waste water generation is less than 50 meter cube per day and project investment is less than Rs. 50 crores. Sh. J.P. Sangwan, Member of the committee pointed out that in your presentation material, you have mentioned expected daily visitors as 3000 persons; therefore you were required to seek prior environmental clearance under 7.7.2004 Notification from MOEF, GOI. By not doing so, you have violated the provisions of EIA Notification dt. 27.1.1994 of MOEF, GOI read with amendment 7.7.2004.

The representative of the project proponent further informed that as the MOEF, GOI Notification dt. 27.1.1994 has been superceded by MOEF, GOI Notification dt. 14.9.2006, our project should be exempted from existing EIA Notification dt. 14.9.2006 as built up area of the constructed Mall is less than 20000 sq. mt. The members were of the unanimous view that the construction of the Mall was started before the constitution of SEIAA/SEAC, so the committee cannot consider this case and after detailed deliberations, the following decision was taken:

“Your request for issuance of NOC to your project namely “Mittal Mega Mall, SM-3 & 4, Sector 25, Panipat vide your letter no. CBPL/2008/126 dated 4.8.2008 and subsequent clarification dt. nil received on 25.9.2008 was discussed in the meeting of the SEAC on 20.11.2008 in your presence and it was transpired that this mall was constructed earlier to the constitution of this Committee by MOEF, GOI. Therefore, your request does not fall in the purview of the committee”.

It was decided that the decision of the committee may be conveyed to the Project proponent after seeking the approval of SEIAA.

12. **Er. Roshan lal Jindal, Chairman, M/S Swami Devi Dayal Hightec Education Academy (Construction of Educational/Professional Institute at Village Golepura, Teh. Barwala, Distt. Ambala, Haryana):**

This Project is a construction of Educational/Professional Institute at Village Golepura, Teh. Barwala, Distt. Ambala, Haryana. Neither the representative of the project proponent nor their consultant attended the meeting for presentation. It was informed that the project proponent vide letter no. 3067/emo/SDDHEA/08 dt. 12.10.2008 has made request that some other suitable date and time convenient to the committee may kindly be given to them so that their consultant can present the case in person. The request of the project proponent was acceded to by the Chairman. The committee was also informed that the project proponent has not submitted reply to the observations raised by Chairman, SEAC vide letter dated 22.9.2008.

It was also decided that the project proponent may be informed that their application shall deemed to have been received only after the receipt of complete information as has been desired vide SEAC letter dated 22.9.2008.

13. **M/S Baderwals Infraproject Pvt. Ltd. (Construction of area Development at Village- Paiga & Khaira, Distt. Mahendergarh, Haryana):**

This Project is a construction of proposed area Development at Village- Paiga & Khaira, Distt. Mahendergarh, Haryana. Neither the representative of the project proponent nor their consultant attended the meeting for presentation. The committee viewed the lapse seriously. The committee was also informed that the project proponent has not submitted reply to the observations raised by Chairman, SEAC vide letter dated 22.9.2008. After detailed deliberations, it was decided that the case should be deferred and the project proponent should be informed that their application for environmental clearance shall be deemed to have been received only after the receipt of desired information/documents as desired by SEAC vide their letter dated 22.9.08.

14. M/S Splendor Landbase Ltd. (Construction of “Splendor Trade Tower” at Sector 65, Village-Tigra, Distt. Gurgaon, Haryana):

During presentation, the consultant of Project Proponent informed that they have already submitted the revised Form-I, Form-1A, conceptual plan, EIA report and reply to the shortcomings. It was further explained that this project is construction of “Splendor Trade Tower” at Sector 65, Village-Tigra, Distt. Gurgaon, Haryana at an expected cost of Rs. 100 crores. The total Plot area is 10959 sq. mt. and the total built up area including basement will be 38507 sq. mt. comprising of retail and marts offices and will accommodate 2039 persons. It was also informed that the green belt development area has been kept as 21% but the area under tree cover was not specified. The total water requirement will be 198 KLD which will be met from Municipal water supply. The 85 KLD of waste water generated from the site which will be treated in the STP having 100 KLD of capacity. The entire treated water will be recycled/reused for horticulture, flushing, DG cooling purposes etc. thus leading to zero discharge. It was informed by the project proponent that the power requirement will be 3000 KVA for both the phases which will be met from the HSEB and for 100% power back up they will provide 3X1000 KVA and 1X415 V capacities of DG Sets. The stack height for the DG sets will be as per the CPCB norms. The project proponent informed that they have total parking facilities of 383 ECS in the basement parking. After that detailed deliberations were held about Solid Waste generation and its management, hazardous waste management, parking plan, green belt development, dual plumbing system, rain water harvesting, power requirement etc. After presentation, the committee observed the following shortcomings which were conveyed to the consultant with the advice to submit the same:

1. The project proponent should submit assurance for supply of 198 KLD of water from competent authority;
2. The project proponent should submit baseline data in respect of the impact of DG sets on the air quality;

3. The project proponent should submit revised green belt development plan ensuring that minimum 15% of the project area is covered under tree plantation alongwith the species of plants, as was advised;
4. The project proponent should submit revised rain water harvesting plan alongwith design and calculations. The project proponent should also ensure that the rain water harvesting pits are away from the location of STP, as was advised by the committee;
5. The project proponent should submit revised monitoring schedule as was advised;
6. The project proponent should submit the calculations in respect of solar heating system to be adopted alongwith quantum of solar heating units;
7. The project proponent should submit certificate from revenue authorizers/Forest Deptt. indicating that their project area is not covered under MOEF, GOI Notification dt. 7.5.1992;
8. The project proponent should submit an undertaking that they will use low sulphur diesel/HSD (0.25%) for their gensets;
9. The project proponent will submit undertaking from the Director/partner duly attested by Ist class Magistrate/Notary in respect of providing proposed welfare safety, health/medical plan, safety policy, occupational diseases, mitigate measures, during material handling for worker during construction phase as well as after construction for the workers and occupants.

It was also made clear to the project proponent that their application will be considered as received only after the receipt of complete information as has been desired.

15. M/S Raheja Haryana SEZ Developers Pvt. Ltd. (construction of Engineering SEZ at Village- Hamirpur, Khetawas, Wazirpur, Saidpur, Distt. Gurgaon, Haryana):

During presentation, the consultant of Project Proponent informed that they have already submitted the revised Form-I, Form-1A, conceptual plan, EIA report and reply to the observations raised by SEAC. It was further explained that this project is construction of Engineering SEZ at Village- Hamirpur, Khetawas, Wazirpur, Saidpur, Distt. Gurgaon, Haryana at an expected cost of Rs. 2643 crores. The total Plot area is 1030204 sq. mt. and the area for processing zone is 556354.05

sq. m. and for non processing zone is 473798.9 sq. mt. The project proponent further informed that the Ministry of Industry and Commerce, Govt. of India on 10.3.2008 has notified the SEZ for the area in village Hamirpur, Khetawas, Wazirpur, Saidpur. Upon this, the committee member asked the project proponent to show the papers indicating ownership/ possession of the land by the project proponent. The representative of the project proponent intimated that they have purchased part of land and further purchase of land for the proposed SEZ is under process. The representative further clarified that they have taken up the land on 20 years lease period which is as per the provisions of SEZ Act. But they failed to give any documentary evidence in support of their contention. The committee advised the PP to submit evidence proving that the land under consideration is in their possession/ownership for a period of 20 years lease. It was further noticed by the members that the said land is not contiguous. Therefore, it will not be appropriate to consider this case from environmental clearance at this stage. After detailed deliberations, the following decisions were taken:-

1. The PP should submit clarification justifying that their land is contiguous and his case can be taken up for environmental clearance.

2. The PP should submit the papers indicating their ownership/possession of the land on lease for 20 years under the provision of SEZ Act and Rules made their under.

16. M/S Larsen & Tubro Ltd.(construction of proposed IT park of Larsen & Tubro Ltd. Engineering Centre, Distt. Faridabad, Haryana):

This Project is a construction of proposed IT park of Larsen & Tubro Ltd. Engineering Centre, Distt. Faridabad, Haryana. Neither the representative of the project proponent nor their consultant attended the meeting for presentation. It was informed that the project proponent on 15.11.2008 has made request that they are in process of collecting the required data so it would not be possible for them to give presentation in respect of their construction project before the committee on the scheduled date of 20.11.2008 and prayed that some other date may kindly be fixed for presentation in respect of their project. The request of the project proponent was acceded to by the Chairman. The committee was also informed that the project proponent has not submitted reply to the observations raised by Chairman, SEAC vide letter dated 19.9.2008.

It was also decided that the project proponent may be informed that their application shall deemed to have been received only after the receipt of complete information as has been desired vide SEAC letter dated 19.9.2008.

The meeting ended with vote of thanks to the chair.

Annexure 'A'

LIST OF PARTICIPANTS

- | | | |
|----|--|--------|
| 1. | Prof. B. Padmanabhmurthy,
Janakpur, New Delhi. | Member |
| 2. | Sh. Jamit Singh, Member, SEAC,
H.No. 905, Sector 7-C, Faridabad. | Member |
| 3. | Dr. S.P.Gupta, Member, SEAC
H.No. 451, Sector 22-A, Chandigarh. | Member |
| 4. | Prof. C.P. Kaushik, Member, SEAC
Department of Environmental Science, GJU, Hisar. on 20.11.08 | Member |
| 5. | Sh. Sultan Singh Jatyan | Member |
| 6. | Sh. Surender Malik
Railway Road, Advocate Colony,
Hansi, District Hisar, Haryana | Member |

7. Sh. Ram partap Sharma,
54, Adarsh Nagar, Bhiwani, Haryana Member
8. Sh. Jaipal Singh Sangwan,
Flat No. 310, C-1, Charmwood Village, Surajkund, Faridabad,
Haryana. Member
9. Sh. A.K. Mehta, Jt. Director, Environment Department Secretary

