

Minutes of the 8th Meeting of State Level Expert Appraisal Committee constituted for considering environmental clearance projects (B category) under GOI Not. 14.9.06 held on 19th & 20th, November, 2008 at Haryana State Pollution Control Board office under the Chairmanship of Sh. Inderjit Juneja, Chairman, SEAC.

**

List of participant is annexed as Annexure-A.

At the outset Chairman, SEAC welcomed the members of the SEAC and advised the Secy. /representative of the Secy. to give brief background of this meeting. The Committee was informed that 16 new projects are being taken up in this meeting i.e. 8 nos. of projects on 19th November, 2008 and remaining 8 no. of projects on 20th November, 2008. Sh. Raj Singh Rana, Members of the Committee informed about his absence from this meeting due to some domestic work and Prof. C.P. Kaushik, Member of the Committee also informed about his absence on Ist day of Meeting due to illness of his wife, however he informed that he will attend the meeting on 20th Nov. 2008. The requests were acceded to by the Chairman.

After detailed deliberations, the following projects were taken up by the Committee for screening, scoping and appraisal:-

- 1. M/S JCB Ltd. (Construction of expansion project at pot no. 1-13, Sector 58, (Clubbed with existing area of JCB India Ltd. 23/7, Mathura Road, Ballabgarh, Faridabad, Haryana):**

During presentation, the consultant of Project Proponent informed that they have already submitted the revised Form-I, Form-1A and conceptual plan and reply to the shortcomings. It was further explained that this project is construction of proposed expansion project at pot no. 1-13, Sector 58, (Clubbed with existing area of JCB India Ltd. 23/7, Mathura Road, Ballabgarh, Faridabad, Haryana at an expected cost of Rs. 401.00 crores. The total Plot area is 227472 sq. mt. and total proposed built up area will be 65388 sq. mt. The unit will establish fabrication and welding shop, paint shop, machine shop, assembly shop in the newly constructed proposed premises. It was also informed that more than 30% of the total plot area will be covered under green belt development whereas in the landscape plan , the

project proponent intimated that the green belt development area will be about 6000 sq. mt. The statements were found in contradiction to each other. The total water requirement will be 1000 KLD which will be met out from HUDA water supply existing tubewells and water tankers. It was informed that the waste water will be generated 185 KLD from the site which will be treated in existing STP/ETP having adequate capacity. The entire treated water will be recycled/reused for irrigation purposes leading to zero discharge from the unit. It was informed by the project proponent that the power requirement will be 7170 KVA and the HSEB has sanctioned 4400 KVA load and for power back up they will provide 6X 1250 KVA & 5X1000 KVA capacity DG sets. The project proponent informed that they have total parking facilities of 500 ECS on surface. Sh. J.P. Sangwan, Member of the Committee informed the members that the unit has already started construction of the expansion unit which is in the final stage of completion. Upon this Chairman of the committee enquired about the status of construction from the representative of project proponent present in the meeting. The representative of project proponent clarified that they have not started construction as yet. However, it was decided that a sub committee of 3 members will visit the site on 10-12-2008 to check the status of construction. After that detailed deliberations were held about Solid Waste generation and its management, hazardous waste management, parking plan, green belt development, dual plumbing system, rain water harvesting, power requirement etc. After presentation, the committee observed the following shortcomings which were conveyed to the consultant with the advice to submit the same:

1. The project proponent should submit contour plan of the proposed;
2. The project proponent should submit the plan indicating that the location of STP earmarked is away from rain water harvesting;
3. The project proponent should submit assurance for supply/abstraction of 1000 KLD of water from competent authority;

4. The project proponent should submit revised rain water harvesting plan as was advised by the committee;
5. The project proponent should submit revised green belt development plan of an area of 30% of the total plot area ensuring that minimum 15% of the project area is covered under tree plantation;
6. The project proponent should submit revised chapter of solid waste management as was advised by the committee;
7. The project proponent should submit an undertaking that they will use low sulphur diesel/HSD 0.25% for their gensets;
8. The project proponent should submit the revised parking plan and traffic circulation plan.
9. A Sub-Committee comprising of Sh. Inderjit Juneja, Chairperson, Sh. Jamit Singh and Sh. J.P. Sangwan, Member of the Committee will visit the site on 10.12.2008 to check the status of the construction.

It was also made clear to the project proponent that their application will be considered as received only after the receipt of Complete information as has been desired.

2. M/S Parsavnath Developers Ltd. (Construction of IT Park village Tikri, Sec. 48, Distt. Gurgaon, Haryana):

This Project is a construction of IT Park village Tikri, Sec. 48, Distt. Gurgaon, Haryana. Neither the representative of the project proponent nor their consultant attended the meeting for presentation. It was informed that the project proponent on 7.11.2008 has made request that their consultant is unable to attend the SEAC Meeting as on the same day EAC Meeting at MOEF, GI is also listed and requested to include their project for consideration in the next SEAC meeting scheduled in the month of Dec. 2008. The request of the

project proponent was acceded to by the Chairman. The committee decided to take up this case in the 10th meeting of SEAC.

3. M/S Maharishi Markandeshwar University (construction of expansion project at Mullana, Tehsil Barara, Ambala, Haryana):

This Project is a construction of expansion project at Mullana, Tehsil Barara, Ambala, Haryana. Neither the representative of the project proponent nor their consultant attended the meeting for presentation. It was informed that the project proponent on 6.11.2008 has made request that on account of some very pursuing engagements it would not be possible for them to give presentation in respect of their construction project before the committee on the scheduled date of 19.11.2008 and prayed that some other date may kindly be fixed for presentation in respect of their project. The request of the project proponent was acceded to by the Chairman. The committee was also informed that the project proponent has not submitted reply to the observations raised by Chairman, SEAC vide letter dated 27.8.2008.

It was also decided that the project proponent may be informed that their application shall deemed to have been received only after the receipt of complete information as has been desired vide SEAC letter dated 27.8.2008.

4. M/S Piyush Shelters India Pvt. Ltd. (Construction of IT Park Global-1 at Plot No. 5, New Industrial Area, Near YMCA Chowk, NIT, Faridabad, Haryana):

During presentation, the consultant of Project Proponent informed that they have already submitted the revised Form-I, Form-1A, conceptual plan, EIA Report and reply to the shortcomings. It was further explained that this project is construction of IT Park Glalal-1 at Plot No. 5, New Industrial Area, Near YMCA Chowk, NIT, Faridabad, Haryana at an expected cost of Rs. 55.00 crores. The total Plot area is 10775.41 sq. mt. and total proposed built up area will be 38413.84

sq. mt. comprising of 9 floors including Lower basement, upper basement and Ground floor. The height of the building will be about 30 Mts. The project proponent also informed that they have already applied for NOC from Airport Authority of India. It was also informed that the green belt development area has been kept as 1700 sq. mt. i.e. 15.70% and landscape /tree area will be around 15% which was found inadequate. The total water requirement will be 285 KLD which will be met from Municipal water as the site is located in the recognized Industrial area. The 80 KLD of waste water will be generated from the site which will be treated in STP having capacity 100 KLD. The entire treated water will be recycled/reused for horticulture, flushing, cooling towers purposes and street washings etc. thus leading to zero discharge. It was informed by the project proponent that the power requirement will be 4615 KVA which will be met from the HSEB and for 100% power back up they will provide 3X 2000 KVA capacity DG sets. The stack height for the DG sets will be as per the CPCB norms. The project proponent informed that they have total parking facilities of 730 ECS i.e. 108 ECS on surface, 296 ECS in the Ist & 207 in the IInd basement and 119 in the open area. After that detailed deliberations were held about Solid Waste generation and its management, hazardous waste management, parking plan, green belt development, dual plumbing system, rain water harvesting, power requirement etc. After presentation, the committee observed the following shortcomings which were conveyed to the consultant with the advice to submit the same:

1. The project proponent should submit NOC from Airport Authority of India;
2. The project proponent should submit assurance for supply/abstraction of 80 KLD of water from competent authority;
3. The project proponent should submit revised rain water harvesting plan as was advised by the committee;
4. The project proponent should submit revised green belt development plan ensuring that minimum 15% of the project area is covered under tree plantation;

5. The project proponent should submit hydraulic design and dimension of each component of proposed STP of 100 KLD capacity alongwith revised water balance diagram indicating zero discharge from the unit;
6. The project proponent should submit the revised chapter of traffic management alongwith plans keeping into consideration the entry and exit from National highway as was advised;

It was also made clear to the project proponent that their application will be considered as received only after the receipt of complete information as has been desired.

5. M/S Ansal Properties & Infrastructure Ltd. (Construction of “Sushant Corporate Plaza” at Badshahpur, Distt. Gurgaon, Haryana):

During presentation, the consultant of Project Proponent informed that they have already submitted the revised Form-I, Form-1A, conceptual plan, EIA report and reply to the shortcomings. It was further explained that this project is construction of Commercial Complex namely “Sushant Corporate Plaza” at Badshahpur, Distt. Gurgaon, Haryana at an expected cost of Rs. 91.10 crores. The total Plot area is 17146 sq. mt. and total proposed built up area will be 48327 sq. mt. comprising of G+11 floors. The height of the building will be about more than 30 Mts. for which the copy of the permission obtained from Airport Authority of India was also submitted to the committee. It was also informed that the green belt development area has been kept as 34% out of which the 20% of area will be under tree plantation. The total water requirement will be 271 KLD which will be met from presently existing tubewell and HUDA water supply. The 118 KLD of waste water will be generated from the site which will be treated in STP having capacity 118 KLD which is inadequate. The entire treated water will be recycled/reused for horticulture, flushing, cooling of HVAC purposes etc. thus leading to zero discharge. It was informed by the project proponent that the power requirement will be 2900 KW which will be met from the DHBVN and for power back up

they will provide 1X 1500 KVA, 1X1250 KVA, 1X1010 KVA and 2X380 KVA capacity DG sets. The stack height for the DG sets will be as per the CPCB norms. The project proponent informed that they have total parking facilities of 437 ECS i.e. 82 ECS on surface and 355 ECS in basement. The member of the Committee also pointed out that the licence issued by Town & Country Planning Department is in the name of M/S Ananddham Realtors Pvt. Ltd. and the copy of development agreement submitted by the project proponent is not proper as it has been not signed by all the parties. The project proponent ensured the committee that they will submit justified reply on this issue. After that detailed deliberations were held about Solid Waste generation and its management, hazardous waste management, parking plan, green belt development, dual plumbing system, rain water harvesting, power requirement etc. After presentation, the committee observed the following shortcomings which were conveyed to the consultant with the advice to submit the same:

1. The project proponent should submit assurance for supply/abstraction of 271 KLD of water from HUDA;
2. The project proponent should submit revised undertakings on affidavit with the declaration by owner/Director/Authorized signatory duly attested by Notary as was advised;
3. The project proponent should submit justified reply indicating that the development agreement has been signed by all the parties involved in it alongwith documentary evidences as assured in the meeting.

It was also made clear to the project proponent that their application will be considered as received only after the receipt of complete information as has been desired.

6. M/S Ansal Properties & Infrastructure Ltd. (Construction of “Sushant City” at Distt. Kurukshetra, Haryana):

During presentation, the consultant of Project Proponent informed that they have already submitted the revised Form-I, Form-1A, conceptual plan, EIA report and reply to the shortcomings. It was further explained that this project is construction of Residential Colony namely “Sushant City” at Distt. Kurukshetra, Haryana at an expected cost of Rs. 220 crores. The total Plot area is 200 acres which will be developed in II phases. In the Phase-I, 125 acre of project area will be developed out of which construction for commercial complex will be carried out on 4.6 acre of land and on the IInd phase the 75 acre of area will be developed and construction will be carried out for development of commercial use on 3 acres of the land area. The rest of the area will be developed for plotted colony. It was also informed that the green belt development area has been kept as 54 acres out of which the 32 acres (16%) will be covered under tree plantation. The total water requirement will be 6000 KLD which will be met from HUDA water supply. The 3600 KLD of waste water from the residential area for which HUDA will give treatment facility and 130 KLD from the commercial area will be generated from the site and 3600 KLD will be discharged into the HUDA sewer whereas 130 KLD of waste water generated from the commercial area will be treated in the STP having 130 KLD of capacity. The entire treated water from the commercial area will be recycled/reused for irrigation, flushing purposes etc. thus leading to zero discharge. It was informed by the project proponent that the power requirement will be 18 MW for both the phases which will be met from the HVPN and for power back up they will provide 7X 500 KVA of DG Sets for commercial and emergency back for water works etc. The stack height for the DG sets will be as per the CPCB norms. The project proponent informed that they have total parking facilities of 223 ECS for commercial area in Phase-I. After that detailed deliberations were held about Solid Waste generation and its management, hazardous waste management, parking plan, green belt development, dual plumbing system, rain water harvesting, power requirement etc. After presentation, the committee observed the following shortcomings which were conveyed to the consultant with the advice to submit the same:

1. The project proponent should submit assurance for supply of 6000 KLD of water from HUDA;
2. The project proponent should submit revised undertakings on affidavit with the declaration by owner/Director/Authorised signatory duly attested by Notary as was advised;

It was also made clear to the project proponent that their application will be considered as received only after the receipt of complete information as has been desired.

7. M/S DLF Cyber City Developers Ltd. (Construction of “Building No. 15” (Block A & B) in DLF Cyber City, DLF Phase-III Sector 25-A, Distt. Gurgaon, Haryana):

During presentation, the consultant of Project Proponent informed that they have already submitted the revised Form-I, Form-1A and conceptual plan and reply to the shortcomings. It was further explained that this project is construction of “Building No. 15” (Block A & B) in DLF Cyber City, DLF Phase-III Sector 25-A, Distt. Gurgaon, Haryana at an expected cost of Rs. 193.07 crores. The total Plot area is 52163.25 sq. mt. which is a part of DLF Cyber City area and total proposed built up area will be 196524 sq. mt. comprising of 22 floors + 3 basements in Block-A and 4 podium + 11 floors+ 3 basements in Block-B having facilities of IT & ITES Offices. The height of the building will be 83.6 mts. It was also informed that the green belt development area has been kept as 20.18% of the plot area but the percentage of area under tree cover was not indicated. The total water requirement will be 663 KLD which will be met out from Municipal Supply. It was informed that the waste water will be generated 928 KLD from the site which will be treated in Common STP for cyber city having 7000 kld capacity. The 882 of treated water will be recycled/reused for horticulture, flushing, cooling, filter back wash & air conditioning etc. thus leading to zero discharge. It was informed by the project proponent that the power requirement will be 11500 KVA which will be met from 4X5.7 MW gas turbines+ 5X3.9 MW gas engines located in the Common energy center of Cyber city SEZ. The

gas required for the turbines will be supplied by GAIL as per the MoU with GAIL copy of which has already submitted. For power back up they will provide 6X 2000 KVA capacity of DG sets will be installed in the basement located in Common Energy Center in the Cyber City SEZ. . The project proponent informed that they have total parking facilities of 2523 ECS i.e. 223 on surface, 400 ECS on podium and 1900 ECS in the basement. After that detailed deliberations were held about Solid Waste generation and its management, hazardous waste management, parking plan, green belt development, dual plumbing system, rain water harvesting, power requirement etc. After presentation, the committee observed the following shortcomings which were conveyed to the consultant with the advice to submit the same:

1. The project proponent should submit NOC from Airport Authority of India;
2. The project proponent should submit assurance for supply of 663 KLD of water from the competent authority;
3. The project proponent should submit undertaking on affidavit with the declaration by owner/Director/Authorised signatory duly attested by Notary that they will not undertake any construction activity without assurance received from competent authority for supply of fresh water;
4. The project proponent should submit copy of proof showing ownership of land;
5. The project proponent should submit revised green belt development plan ensuring that minimum 15% of the project area is covered under tree plantation alongwith the species of plants, as was advised;
6. The project proponent should submit an undertaking duly attested by notary that they will handle e-waste generated from the site by the Govt. approved agency.

It was also made clear to the project proponent that their application will be considered as received only after the receipt of complete information as has been desired.

8. M/S RPS Infrastructure Ltd. (Construction of Rhythm Group Housing at Village- Baselva, Palwali & Kheri Kalan, Sector 88, Distt. Faridabad, Haryana):

This Project is a construction of Rhythm Group Housing at Village- Baselva, Palwali & Kheri Kalan, Sector 88, Distt. Faridabad, Haryana. Neither the representative of the project proponent nor their consultant attended the meeting for presentation. It was informed that the project proponent through e-mail on 7.11.2008 has made request that due to certain unavoidable circumstances, it would not be possible for them to give presentation in respect of their construction project before the committee on the scheduled date of 19.11.2008 and requested that some other date may kindly be fixed for presentation in respect of their project. The request of the project proponent was acceded to by the Chairman. The committee was also informed that the project proponent has not submitted reply to the observations raised by Chairman, SEAC vide letter dated 23.10.2008.

It was also decided that the project proponent may be informed that their application shall deemed to have been received only after the receipt of complete information as has been desired vide SEAC letter dated 23.10.2008.

9. M/S Landmark Construction Ltd. (Construction of Landmark Cyber Park at Sector 67, near village- Badshahpur, Distt. Gurgaon, Haryana):

During presentation, the consultant of Project Proponent informed that they have already submitted the revised Form-I, Form-1A, conceptual plan, EIA report and reply to the shortcomings. It was further explained that this project is construction of Landmark Cyber Park at Sector 67, near village- Badshahpur, Distt. Gurgaon, Haryana at an expected cost of Rs. 201.35 crores. The total plot area will be 34041.87 sq. mt. and built up area will be 150682.66 sq. mt. It was also informed that the green belt development area has been kept as

29.8% out of which 16.8 % will be covered under tree plantation. The total water requirement will be 362 KLD which will be met out from local authority during operation phase and during construction phase from tankers augmented with borewells. It was informed that the waste water will be generated 312 KLD from the site which will be treated in STP having capacity 375 KLD. The entire treated water will be recycled/reused for horticulture, flushing, cooling of DG/HVAC, make over & road washing etc. resulting to zero discharge from the unit. It was informed by the project proponent that the power requirement will be 9503 KVA which will be met from the DHBVNL and for power back up they will provide 4X2400 KVA of DG sets. The project proponent informed that they have total parking facilities of 1191 ECS i.e. 250 ECS on ground level, 444 basement-1 and 497 in basement-II. After that detailed deliberations were held about Solid Waste generation and its management, hazardous waste management, parking plan, green belt development, dual plumbing system, rain water harvesting, power requirement etc. After presentation, the committee observed the following shortcomings which were conveyed to the consultant with the advice to submit the same:

1. The project proponent will submit NOC from Airport Authority of India;
2. The project proponent will submit assurance from the competent authority for supply of 362 KLD of fresh water;
3. The project proponent should submit an undertaking duly attested by notary that they will handle e-waste generated from the site by the Govt. approved agency;
4. The project proponent should submit revised undertakings on affidavit with the declaration by owner/Director/Authorised signatory duly attested by Notary as was advised

It was also made clear to the project proponent that their application will be considered as received only after the receipt of complete information as has been desired.

10. M/S Karni Infrastructure and Property Pvt. Ltd. (Construction of proposed Group Housing Project “NESTER” at Sector-4A, Bahadurgarh, Jhajjar, Haryana):

During presentation, the consultant of Project Proponent informed that they have already submitted the revised Form-I, Form-1A, conceptual plan, EIA report and reply to the shortcomings. It was further explained that this project is construction of proposed Group Housing Project “NESTER” at Sector-4A, Bahadurgarh, Jhajjar, Haryana at an expected cost of Rs. 163 crores. The total Plot area is 49047.52 sq. mt. and the total built up area including basement will be 78207.12 sq. mt. comprising of 575 dwelling units (500 apartments and 75 EWS) and will accommodate 3010 persons. It was also informed that the green belt development area has been kept as 25% out of which the 15% will be covered under tree plantation and 10% will be kept for organized green spaces. The total water requirement will be 382 KLD which will be met from local authority water supply. The 329 KLD of waste water generated from the site which will be treated in the STP having 400 KLD of capacity. The entire treated water from the commercial area will be recycled/reused for horticulture, flushing, DG cooling purposes etc. thus leading to zero discharge. It was informed by the project proponent that the power requirement will be 3500 KVA for both the phases which will be met from the DHBVNL and for power back up they will provide 2X 500 KVA & 2X 750 KVA capacity of DG Sets. The stack height for the DG sets will be as per the CPCB norms. The project proponent informed that they have total parking facilities of 538 ECS i.e. 285 ECS on surface, 233 on stilt parking & 20 ECS for convenient shop parking. After that detailed deliberations were held about Solid Waste generation and its management, hazardous waste management, parking plan, green belt development, dual plumbing system, rain water harvesting, power requirement etc. After presentation, the committee observed the following shortcomings which were conveyed to the consultant with the advice to submit the same:

1. The project proponent should submit assurance for supply of 382 KLD of water from competent authority;

2. The project proponent should submit revised undertakings on affidavit with the declaration by owner/Director/Authorised signatory duly attested by Notary as was advised;

It was also made clear to the project proponent that their application will be considered as received only after the receipt of complete information as has been desired.

11. M/S Cosmos Builders & Promoters Ltd. (Construction of “Mittal Mega Mall” SM-3 and 4, Sector 25, Phase-II, Distt. Panipat, Haryana):

At the outset, the members of the committee informed Chairperson that the project proponent has not delivered the documents required for discussion. The representative of the Project Proponent informed that they have already supplied the copies of documents to all the members on 18.11.2008 and regretted for in-orderly delay in submission of the documents. The Chairperson allowed them to make their presentation. During presentation, the representatives of the project proponent informed that they have already submitted Form-I, Form-1A, conceptual plan and reply to the observations raised by Chairman, SEAC. It was further explained that this project is construction of “Mittal Mega Mall” SM-3 and 4, Sector 25, Phase-II, Distt. Panipat, Haryana at an expected cost of Rs. 40 crores. The total Plot area is 7280 sq. mt. and the total built up area including basement will be 17166 sq. mt. The project proponent intimated that their site plan was approved by Haryana Urban Development Authority and the construction of the mall was completed in the year 2006 and the Mall is in running condition. The occupation certificate was issued by HUDA on 22.12.2006. The project proponent further informed that Chairman, Haryana State Pollution Control Board is insisting to get environmental clearance for the project as per EIA rules, 2004. The project proponent clarified that their project was not covered under MOEF, GOI Notification dt. 7.7.2004 as the regular occupants are less than 1000 persons, waste water generation is less than 50 meter cube per day and project investment is less than Rs. 50 crores. Sh. J.P. Sangwan, Member of the committee pointed out that in your presentation material, you

have mentioned expected daily visitors as 3000 persons; therefore you were required to seek prior environmental clearance under 7.7.2004 Notification from MOEF, GOI. By not doing so, you have violated the provisions of EIA Notification dt. 27.1.1994 of MOEF, GOI read with amendment 7.7.2004.

The representative of the project proponent further informed that as the MOEF, GOI Notification dt. 27.1.1994 has been superceded by MOEF, GOI Notification dt. 14.9.2006, our project should be exempted from existing EIA Notification dt. 14.9.2006 as built up area of the constructed Mall is less than 20000 sq. mt. The members were of the unanimous view that the construction of the Mall was started before the constitution of SEIAA/SEAC, so the committee cannot consider this case and after detailed deliberations, the following decision was taken:

“Your request for issuance of NOC to your project namely “Mittal Mega Mall, SM-3 & 4, Sector 25, Panipat vide your letter no. CBPL/2008/126 dated 4.8.2008 and subsequent clarification dt. nil received on 25.9.2008 was discussed in the meeting of the SEAC on 20.11.2008 in your presence and it was transpired that this mall was constructed earlier to the constitution of this Committee by MOEF, GOI. Therefore, your request does not fall in the purview of the committee”.

It was decided that the decision of the committee may be conveyed to the Project proponent after seeking the approval of SEIAA.

12. **Er. Roshan lal Jindal, Chairman, M/S Swami Devi Dayal Hightec Education Academy (Construction of Educational/Professional Institute at Village Golepura, Teh. Barwala, Distt. Ambala, Haryana):**

This Project is a construction of Educational/Professional Institute at Village Golepura, Teh. Barwala, Distt. Ambala, Haryana. Neither the representative of the project proponent nor their consultant attended the meeting for presentation. It was informed that the project proponent vide letter no. 3067/emo/SDDHEA/08 dt. 12.10.2008 has made request that some other suitable date and time

convenient to the committee may kindly be given to them so that their consultant can present the case in person. The request of the project proponent was acceded to by the Chairman. The committee was also informed that the project proponent has not submitted reply to the observations raised by Chairman, SEAC vide letter dated 22.9.2008.

It was also decided that the project proponent may be informed that their application shall be deemed to have been received only after the receipt of complete information as has been desired vide SEAC letter dated 22.9.2008.

13. M/S Baderwals Infraproject Pvt. Ltd. (Construction of area Development at Village- Paiga & Khaira, Distt. Mahendergarh, Haryana):

This Project is a construction of proposed area Development at Village- Paiga & Khaira, Distt. Mahendergarh, Haryana. Neither the representative of the project proponent nor their consultant attended the meeting for presentation. The committee viewed the lapse seriously. The committee was also informed that the project proponent has not submitted reply to the observations raised by Chairman, SEAC vide letter dated 22.9.2008. After detailed deliberations, it was decided that the case should be deferred and the project proponent should be informed that their application for environmental clearance shall be deemed to have been received only after the receipt of desired information/documents as desired by SEAC vide their letter dated 22.9.08.

14. M/S Splendor Landbase Ltd. (Construction of “Splendor Trade Tower” at Sector 65, Village-Tigra, Distt. Gurgaon, Haryana):

During presentation, the consultant of Project Proponent informed that they have already submitted the revised Form-I, Form-1A, conceptual plan, EIA report and reply to the shortcomings. It was further explained that this project is construction of “Splendor Trade Tower” at Sector 65, Village-Tigra, Distt. Gurgaon, Haryana at an expected cost of Rs. 100 crores. The total Plot area is 10959 sq. mt. and the total built up area including basement will be 38507 sq. mt.

comprising of retail and marts offices and will accommodate 2039 persons. It was also informed that the green belt development area has been kept as 21% but the area under tree cover was not specified. The total water requirement will be 198 KLD which will be met from Municipal water supply. The 85 KLD of waste water generated from the site which will be treated in the STP having 100 KLD of capacity. The entire treated water will be recycled/reused for horticulture, flushing, DG cooling purposes etc. thus leading to zero discharge. It was informed by the project proponent that the power requirement will be 3000 KVA for both the phases which will be met from the HSEB and for 100% power back up they will provide 3X1000 KVA and 1X415 V capacities of DG Sets. The stack height for the DG sets will be as per the CPCB norms. The project proponent informed that they have total parking facilities of 383 ECS in the basement parking. After that detailed deliberations were held about Solid Waste generation and its management, hazardous waste management, parking plan, green belt development, dual plumbing system, rain water harvesting, power requirement etc. After presentation, the committee observed the following shortcomings which were conveyed to the consultant with the advice to submit the same:

1. The project proponent should submit assurance for supply of 198 KLD of water from competent authority;
2. The project proponent should submit baseline data in respect of the impact of DG sets on the air quality;
3. The project proponent should submit revised green belt development plan ensuring that minimum 15% of the project area is covered under tree plantation alongwith the species of plants, as was advised;
4. The project proponent should submit revised rain water harvesting plan alongwith design and calculations. The project proponent should also ensure that the rain water harvesting pits are away from the location of STP, as was advised by the committee;

5. The project proponent should submit revised monitoring schedule as was advised;
6. The project proponent should submit the calculations in respect of solar heating system to be adopted alongwith quantum of solar heating units;
7. The project proponent should submit certificate from revenue authorizers/Forest Deptt. indicating that their project area is not covered under MOEF, GOI Notification dt. 7.5.1992;
8. The project proponent should submit an undertaking that they will use low sulphur diesel/HSD (0.25%) for their gensets;
9. The project proponent will submit undertaking from the Director/partner duly attested by Ist class Magistrate/Notary in respect of providing proposed welfare safety, health/medical plan, safety policy, occupational diseases, mitigate measures, during material handling for worker during construction phase as well as after construction for the workers and occupants.

It was also made clear to the project proponent that their application will be considered as received only after the receipt of complete information as has been desired.

15. M/S Raheja Haryana SEZ Developers Pvt. Ltd. (construction of Engineering SEZ at Village- Hamirpur, Khetawas, Wazirpur, Saidpur, Distt. Gurgaon, Haryana):

During presentation, the consultant of Project Proponent informed that they have already submitted the revised Form-I, Form-1A, conceptual plan, EIA report and reply to the observations raised by SEAC. It was further explained that this project is construction of Engineering SEZ at Village- Hamirpur, Khetawas, Wazirpur, Saidpur, Distt. Gurgaon, Haryana at an expected cost of Rs. 2643 crores. The total Plot area is 1030204 sq. mt. and the area for processing zone is 556354.05

sq. m. and for non processing zone is 473798.9 sq. mt. The project proponent further informed that the Ministry of Industry and Commerce, Govt. of India on 10.3.2008 has notified the SEZ for the area in village Hamirpur, Khetawas, Wazirpur, Saidpur. Upon this, the committee member asked the project proponent to show the papers indicating ownership/ possession of the land by the project proponent. The representative of the project proponent intimated that they have purchased part of land and further purchase of land for the proposed SEZ is under process. The representative further clarified that they have taken up the land on 20 years lease period which is as per the provisions of SEZ Act. But they failed to give any documentary evidence in support of their contention. The committee advised the PP to submit evidence proving that the land under consideration is in their possession/ownership for a period of 20 years lease. It was further noticed by the members that the said land is not contiguous. Therefore, it will not be appropriate to consider this case from environmental clearance at this stage. After detailed deliberations, the following decisions were taken:-

1. The PP should submit clarification justifying that their land is contiguous and his case can be taken up for environmental clearance.
2. The PP should submit the papers indicating their ownership/possession of the land on lease for 20 years under the provision of SEZ Act and Rules made their under.

16. M/S Larsen & Tubro Ltd.(construction of proposed IT park of Larsen & Tubro Ltd. Engineering Centre, Distt. Faridabad, Haryana):

This Project is a construction of proposed IT park of Larsen & Tubro Ltd. Engineering Centre, Distt. Faridabad, Haryana. Neither the representative of the project proponent nor their consultant attended the meeting for presentation. It was informed that the project proponent on 15.11.2008 has made request that they are in

process of collecting the required data so it would not be possible for them to give presentation in respect of their construction project before the committee on the scheduled date of 20.11.2008 and prayed that some other date may kindly be fixed for presentation in respect of their project. The request of the project proponent was acceded to by the Chairman. The committee was also informed that the project proponent has not submitted reply to the observations raised by Chairman, SEAC vide letter dated 19.9.2008.

It was also decided that the project proponent may be informed that their application shall deemed to have been received only after the receipt of complete information as has been desired vide SEAC letter dated 19.9.2008.

The meeting ended with vote of thanks to the chair.

Annexure 'A'

LIST OF PARTICIPANTS

- | | | |
|----|--|-----------|
| 1. | Prof. B. Padmanabhmurthy,
Janakpur, New Delhi. | Member |
| 2. | Sh. Jamit Singh, Member, SEAC,
H.No. 905, Sector 7-C, Faridabad. | Member |
| 3. | Dr. S.P.Gupta, Member, SEAC
H.No. 451, Sector 22-A, Chandigarh. | Member |
| 4. | Prof. C.P. Kaushik, Member, SEAC
Department of Environmental Science, GJU, Hisar. on 20.11.08 | Member |
| 5. | Sh. Sultan Singh Jatyan | Member |
| 6. | Sh. Surender Malik
Railway Road, Advocate Colony,
Hansi, District Hisar, Haryana | Member |
| 7. | Sh. Ram partap Sharma,
54, Adarsh Nagar, Bhiwani, Haryana | Member |
| 8. | Sh. Jaipal Singh Sangwan,
Flat No. 310, C-1, Charmwood Village, Surajkund, Faridabad,
Haryana. | Member |
| 9. | Sh. A.K. Mehta, Jt. Director, Environment Department | Secretary |

