

Minutes of the 7th Meeting of State Level Expert Appraisal Committee constituted for considering environmental clearance projects (B category) under GOI Not. 14.9.06 held on 23rd & 24th, October, 2008 at Haryana State Pollution Control Board office under the Chairmanship of Sh. Inderjit Juneja, Chairman, SEAC.

**

List of participant is annexed as Annexure-A.

At the outset Chairman, SEAC welcomed the members of the SEAC and advised the Secy./representative of the Secy. to give brief background of this meeting. The Committee was informed that 16 new projects are being taken up in this meeting i.e. 8 nos. of projects on 23rd October, 2008 and remaining 8 no. of projects on 24th October, 2008. Prof. B. Padmanabhmurthy and Sh. Raj Singh Rana, Member of the Committee informed about their absence from this meeting due to some domestic work. The requests were acceded by the Chairman.

After detailed deliberations, the following projects were taken up by the Committee for screening, scoping and appraisal:-

- 1. M/S Dimensions Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd. (Construction/development of Motels at NH-2 Bearing killa No. 34/8, 9/1.9/2, 9/3, 10/1 at village Prithla, Tehsil Palwal, Faridabad, Haryana):**

This Project is a construction/development of Motels at NH-2 bearing killa No. 34/8, 9/1.9/2, 9/3, 10/1 at Village- Prithla, Tehsil Palwal, Distt. Faridabad, Haryana. Neither the representative of the project proponent nor their consultant attended the meeting for presentation. The case in question relates to, a letter written by the project proponent dt. 7.7.2008, seeking exemption from the preview of the EIA notification as the built up area is less than 20000 sq. mt. i.e. 6225.83 sq. mt. It was also informed that as per the CLU issued by

Town & Country planning Department vide their letter dated 28.2.2008, permission has been granted for change of land use for construction of Motels without banquet facilities over an area measuring 6225.83 sq.mt. (after excluding an area of 5029.40 sq. mt. falling within 100 mts. restricted belt along NH-2). On examination of the document supplied, it was noticed that as per zoning plan approved by Authority, 3 basements have been allowed to the project proponent. Thereby taking into consideration, the FAR as 1.5 and 3 basements areas allowed the built up area will be more than 20000 sq mt. accordingly, SEAC vide their letter No. 245 dt. 22.8.2008 advised the project proponent to submit Form-1, IA and conceptual plan so that the case to be placed before the SEAC or submit detailed built up area of the project including 3 basements and area open to sky as per requirements of MOEF, GOI Notification dt. 14.9.2006. No response has been received from the project proponent. The matter was viewed seriously by the committee and decided that exemption to this project under EIA Notification 14.9.2006 cannot be granted as the total proposed built up area is more than 20000 sq. mt. and the project proponent has also failed to appear before the committee for giving explanation regarding exemption request. A decision was taken that the project proponent should again be asked to apply for environmental clearance as per the provisions of GOI notification dt. 14.9.2006 and their application for environmental clearance shall be deemed to have been received only after the receipt of desired information/documents as desired by SEAC vide their letter dated 22.8.08.

It was also made clear to the project proponent that their application will be considered as received only after the receipt of complete information as has been desired.

2. M/S Uppal Housing Pvt. Ltd. (Construction of “Uppal’s Canary Residency” Village- Naurangpur, Gurgaon):

The Project Proponent vide letter dated 6.10.2008 intimated that they had already submitted the application for environmental clearance on 24.4.2008 for the said project which was received by MS/SEIAA on 30.6.2008 and their project has already been appraised by SEAC in the 3rd Meeting held on 26th and 27th Aug. 2008. Accordingly, it was requested to de-list their name from the pending projects. After detailed deliberations, it was decided to drop this case.

3. M/S Perpectual Infracon Pvt. Ltd. (Construction of IT/ITES SEZ at sec. 81, Village- Bidena, Faridabad and Prahaldpur, Distt. Faridabad, Haryana):

During presentation, the consultant of Project Proponent informed that they have already submitted the revised Form-I, Form-1A and conceptual plan and reply to the shortcomings. It was further explained that this project is construction of IT/ITES SEZ at sec. 81, Village- Bidena, Faridabad and Prahaldpur, Distt. Faridabad, Haryana) at an expected cost of Rs. 412.91 crores. The total Plot area is 219489 sq. mt. and total proposed built up area including 3 basements will be 750269.38 sq. mt. The project proponent also submitted copy of NOC obtained from Airport Authority of India. It was also informed that the green belt development area has been kept as 45% out of which 20% of the area will be covered by tree plantation and 25% of area as organized green spaces which was found in order.

The total water requirement will be 3700 KLD which will be abstracted from existing tubewell in the plot area. The project proponent also informed that the CGWA has already granted permission to install 8 tubewells on land measuring 578.58 acres in Sec. 81 to 89, Faridabad excluding Sec. 82 & 86. It was observed by the Committee that the permission granted by CGWA is in the name of M/S Countrywide Promoters Pvt. Ltd. and not in the name of M/S Perpetual Infracon Pvt. Ltd. The representative of the project proponent informed that M/S Perpetual Infracon Pvt. Ltd. is sister concern of M/S Countrywide Promoters Pvt. Ltd. and assured to provide the relevant documents in support of the same and also an undertaking from M/S Countrywide Promoters Pvt. Ltd. that “they will allow M/S Perpetual Infracon Pvt. Ltd. to abstract water from the tubewells for which permission has been obtained from CGWA”. It was informed that the waste water will be generated 3182 KLD from the site which will be treated in STP having capacity 3820 KLD. The whole of treated water will be recycled/reused for horticulture, flushing, cooling of HVAC purposes etc. thus leading to zero discharge. It was informed by the project proponent that the power requirement will be 52 MW which will be met from the DHVVN and for power back up they will provide 25X 2000 KVA capacity DG sets. The stack height for the DG sets will be as per the CPCB norms. The project proponent informed that they have total parking facilities of 10719 ECS i.e. 4593 on surface and 6126 ECS in the basements. After that detailed deliberations were held about Solid Waste generation and its management, hazardous waste management, parking plan, green belt development, dual

plumbing system, rain water harvesting, power requirement etc. After presentation, the committee observed the following shortcomings which were conveyed to the consultant with the advice to submit the same:

1. The project proponent will submit an undertaking that they will handle e-waste generated from the site by the Govt. approved agency.
2. The project proponent will give the exact number of tubewells permitted by CGWA in the project area and also copy of agreement showing that M/S Countrywide Promoters Pvt. Ltd. is the sister concern and also assurance from M/S Countrywide Promoters Pvt. Ltd. that they will allow abstraction of water to their SEZ project.

It was also made clear to the project proponent that their application will be considered as received only after the receipt of complete information as has been desired.

4. M/S Gracious Buildcon Pvt. Ltd. (Construction of IT/ITES SEZ at Sec. 102, Village- Kherkimajra Distt. Gurgaon, Haryana):

During presentation, the consultant of Project Proponent informed that they have already submitted the revised Form-I, Form-1A and conceptual plan and reply to the shortcomings. It was further explained that this project is construction of IT/ITES SEZ at Sec. 102, Village- Kherkimajra Distt. Gurgaon, Haryana.

It was noticed by the committee members that this case is premature as the project proponent has not submitted copy of approval granted by Director, Industries Department Haryana as well as notification issued by Ministry of Industries & Commerce, GOI for establishment of SEZ.

After detailed deliberations, it was decided to postpone this case as Ministry of Industries & Commerce, GOI has still not issued Notification. The project proponent was informed that their project for environmental clearance can be considered only after issuance of Notification by Ministry of Industries & Commerce, GOI and the project proponent was advised to submit copy of approval granted by Director, Industries Deptt. Haryana and notification issued by Ministry of Industries & Commerce, GOI.

It was also made clear to the project proponent that their application will be considered as received only after the receipt of complete information as has been desired.

5. M/S Aggarwal Developers Pvt. Ltd. (Construction of proposed group housing “WESTERN PARADISE” at Sec. 90, Distt. Gurgaon, Haryana):

During presentation, the consultant of Project Proponent informed that they have already submitted the revised Form-I, Form-1A and conceptual plan and reply to the shortcomings. It was further explained that this project is construction of proposed group housing “WESTERN PARADISE” at Sec. 90, Distt. Gurgaon, Haryana at an expected cost of Rs. 68.25 crores. The total Plot area is 40468.25 sq. mt. and total proposed built up area will be 74643 sq. mt. comprising of 8 nos. of blocks having G+17 floors housing 417 dwelling units and one basement. The height of the building will be 60 mts. It was also informed that the green belt development area has been kept as 18210.75 sq. mt. out of which tree plantation will be undertaken on 5463.22 sq. mt. It was observed by the members that the project proponent has not specified the percentage of area to be covered under

tree plantation on the plan. The total water requirement will be 201 KLD which will be met out from Municipal Supply. It was informed that the waste water will be generated 230 KLD from the site which will be treated in STP having capacity 300 KLD. The 121 KLD of treated water will be recycled/reused for horticulture, flushing, cooling etc. and excess will be discharged in the public sewer. It was informed by the project proponent that the power requirement will be 2200 KVA which will be met from the HVVN and for power back up they will provide 1X 1200 KVA capacity DG sets. The project proponent informed that they have total parking facilities of 912 ECS i.e. 246 on surface, 97 ECS stilt parking and 569 ECS in the basement. After that detailed deliberations were held about Solid Waste generation and its management, hazardous waste management, parking plan, green belt development, dual plumbing system, rain water harvesting, power requirement etc. After presentation, the committee observed the following shortcomings which were conveyed to the consultant with the advice to submit the same:

1. The project proponent will submit valid collaboration agreement with the licence holders;
2. The project proponent will submit permission obtained from Airport authority of India;
3. The project proponent will submit revised plantation scheme/plan as was advised;
4. The project proponent will submit assurance from the competent authority for supply of 201 KLD of fresh water;
5. The project proponent will submit latest dispersion model for ambient air quality on the basis of analyses reports in respect of SPM, RSPM, SO₂ and NO_x. While preparing models the wind rose pattern and other meteorological data should also be taken into consideration;

6. The project proponent should submit certificate from the revenue authority/forest deptt. indicating their project area is not covered under Aravalli Notification dated 7.5.92.
7. The project proponent should submit photographs of the proposed site indicating status of construction.

It was also made clear to the project proponent that their application will be considered as received only after the receipt of complete information as has been desired.

6. M/S Uppal Developers Pvt. Ltd. (Construction of proposed development multiservices SEZ at Bhodakalan, Rathiwas and Bhudka, Distt. Gurgaon, Haryana):

During presentation, the consultant of Project Proponent informed that they have already submitted the revised Form-I, Form-1A and conceptual plan and reply to the shortcomings. It was further explained that this project is construction of proposed development multiservices SEZ at Bhodakalan, Rathiwas and Bhudka, Distt. Gurgaon, Haryana at an expected cost of Rs. 5171 crores. The total plot area will be 1063088.20 sq. mt. and built up area will be 3831068.05 sq. mt. The SEZ will comprises of technology park, IT/ITES, Bio-Tech, warehousing, trading offices, call centers, staff housing, service apartments etc. in the processing zone and residential apartments, service apartments, shopping centers, hospital clinics an schools in non-processing area and will accommodate 190479 persons. It was also informed that the green belt development area has been kept as 318926.46 sq. mt. but a detail of area proposed for tree plantation was not specified. The total water requirement will be 22329 KLD out of which 10632 KLD will be fresh water requirement which will be met out from Municipal Supply. It was

informed that the waste water will be generated 13762 KLD from the site which will be treated in STP having capacity 16500 KLD. The 11697 KLD of treated water will be recycled/reused for horticulture, flushing, cooling of HVAC etc. but the details regarding excess of treated water was not given. It was informed by the project proponent that the power requirement will be 205719 KVA which will be met from the HSEB and for power back up they will provide 23 DG sets (13X2000 KVA, 4X1500 KVA, 4X 1000 KVA & 2X 250 KVA). The project proponent informed that they have total parking facilities of 50860 ECS i.e. 19438 in non-processing zone and 31422 ECS in processing zones. After that detailed deliberations were held about Solid Waste generation and its management, hazardous waste management, parking plan, green belt development, dual plumbing system, rain water harvesting, power requirement etc. After presentation, the committee observed the following shortcomings which were conveyed to the consultant with the advice to submit the same:

1. The project proponent will submit copy of formal approval granted by Director, Industries, Haryana for establishment of SEZ;
2. The project proponent will submit revised plantation scheme/plan as was advised;
3. The project proponent will submit assurance from the competent authority for supply of 10632 KLD of fresh water;
4. The project proponent should submit revised water balance diagram specifically showing the disposal of excess of the treated waste water;
5. The project proponent should submit undertaking duly attested by notary as advised ;

It was also made clear to the project proponent that their application will be considered as received only after the receipt of complete information as has been desired.

7. M/S First Hospitality and Leisure Pvt. Ltd. (construction of proposed IT Park/commercial complex project at Village-Gwal pahari, Distt. Gurgaon, Haryana):

This Project is a construction proposed IT Park/commercial complex project at Village-Gwal pahari, Distt. Gurgaon, Haryana. Neither the representative of the project proponent nor their consultant attended the meeting for presentation. The committee viewed the lapse seriously. However, it was informed to the committee that the shortcomings in this case has already been conveyed to the project proponent by SEAC vide memo. No. DEH/SEAC-/418 dated 13.9.2008. After detailed deliberations, it was decided that the case should be deferred and the project proponent should be informed that their application for environmental clearance shall be deemed to have been received only after the receipt of desired information/documents as desired by SEAC vide their letter dated 13.9.08.

8. M/S A.N. Buildwell Pvt. Ltd. (Construction of IT Park, Sector 8, IMT Manesar, Distt. Gurgaon, Haryana):

During presentation, the consultant of Project Proponent informed that they have already submitted the revised Form-I, Form-1A and conceptual plan and further explained that this proposed project is construction of IT Park, Sector 8, IMT Manesar, Distt. Gurgaon, Haryana. The total Plot area is 38076 sq. mt. and total proposed FAR area 235% equivalent to 89458 sq. mt. comprising of 3

basements, GF + 13 floors. It was also informed that the green belt development area has been kept as 60. The total fresh water requirement will be 405 KLD which will be supplied by HSIIDC. It was informed that the waste water will be generated 365 KLD from the site which will be treated in STP having capacity 380 KLD which was found inadequate. It was also informed that they will installed ETP to treat the waste water and to reuse the same to conserve water and to reduce the water demand. The capacity of ETP was found inadequate. The entire treated water will be recycled/reused for horticulture, cooling, irrigation, flushing etc. thus leading to zero discharge. It was informed by the project proponent that the power requirement will be 10MW in the peak hours which will be met from the DHVVN and for power back up they will provide 6X 2MVA capacity DG sets. The stack height for the DG sets will be as per the CPCB norms. The project proponent informed that they have total parking facilities of 2000 ECS in the basements. After that detailed deliberations were held about Solid Waste generation and its management, hazardous waste management, parking plan, green belt development, dual plumbing system, rain water harvesting, power requirement etc. After presentation, the committee observed the following shortcomings which were conveyed to the consultant with the advice to submit the same:

1. The project proponent will supply a copy of assurance obtained from HSIIDC for supply of fresh water;
2. The project proponent should submit revised STP and ETP scheme having adequate capacity as was advised;

3. The project proponent will submit rain water harvesting plan as per the design approved in the manual issued by the GOI.
4. The project proponent will submit revised green belt development plan indicating 15% of the project area under tree plantation alongwith the species;
5. The project proponent will submit detailed dual plumbing system for recycling the treated water;
6. The Project proponent will submit electrical hazardous plan in the form of undertaking for the welfare of the workers;
7. The project proponent will submit undertaking from the Director/partner duly attested by Ist class Magistrate/Notary in respect of providing proposed welfare safety, health/medical plan, safety policy, occupational diseases, mitigate measures, during material handling for worker during construction phase as well as after construction for the workers and occupants;
8. The project proponent should submit the details of compliance of ECBC norms for thermal insulation.
9. The project proponent should submit certificate from the revenue authority/forest deptt. indicating their project area is not covered under Aravalli Notification dated 7.5.92.
10. The project proponent will submit undertaking that they will use low sulphur diesel/HSD (0.25%) for their DG sets.

It was also made clear to the project proponent that their application will be considered as received only after the receipt of complete information as has been desired.

Annexure 'A'

LIST OF PARTICIPANTS

- | | | |
|----|---|--------|
| 1. | Sh. Jamit Singh, Member, SEAC,
H.No. 905, Sector 7-C, Faridabad. | Member |
| 2. | Dr. S.P.Gupta, Member, SEAC
H.No. 451, Sector 22-A, Chandigarh. | Member |
| 3. | Prof. C.P. Kaushik, Member, SEAC
Department of Environmental Science, GJU,
Hisar. (Attended the meeting on 27.08.08 only) | Member |
| 4. | Sh. Surender Malik
Railway Road, Advocate Colony,
Hansi, Distrcit Hisar, Haryana | Member |
| 5. | Sh. Ram partap Sharma,
54, Adarsh Nagar, Bhiwani, Haryana | Member |
| 6. | Sh. Jaipal Singh Sangwan,
Flat No. 310, C-1, Charmwood Village,
Surajkund, Faridabad, Haryana. | Member |

Sr. No.	Name of Project	Name of Representative/ Consultant
1.		Sh. A.K. Gupta
2		Rajeev Gupta
3		-do-
4		Absent
5		S.K. Nakra
6		Absent
7		Mahendra Pandey
8		Dr. R.M. Mehta
9		Sunil Kumar
10		Absent
11		Mohit Kumar Jain
12		Dr. D.K. Singh
13		absent
14		Rachna Bhargawa
15		absent
16		absent